

Volume I
Table of Contents

Session – 1 Contemporary Trends in Constitutional Law: Recent Judicial Developments		
No.	Title and Citation	Page No.
1	M. P. Singh, The Federal Scheme in THE OXFORD HANDBOOK OF THE INDIAN CONSTITUTION, Ed. Sujit Chaudhry, Madhav Khosla and Pratap Bhanu Mehta 451 (Oxford University Press, 2016)	
2	S.P. Sathe, Towards Cooperative Federalism – Revisioning the Centre- State Relations in SELECTED WORKS OF S.P. SATHE, Ed. Sathya Narayan, 12 (Oxford University Press, 2015)	
3	Arvind P. Datar & Rahul Unnikrishnan, Kerala Liquor Ban: Revisiting Res Extra Commercium & Police Power , (2017) 3 SCC J-1	
4	G. Raghuram, Judiciary and the Media- Need for Balance (National Workshop for High Court Justices, National Judicial Academy-Bhopal, September 25, 2021)	
5	Sudhanshu Ranjan, Media and Judiciary: Revitalization of Democracy , 57(3) Journal of the Indian Law Institute 415 (2015)	
6	Abhinav Chandrachud, Shouting Fire in a Crowded Theatre in REPUBLIC OF RHETORIC: FREE SPEECH AND THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA (Penguin Random House India ,2017)	
7	Gautam Bhatia, The Meaning of Speech and Expression in Offend, Shock Or Disturb 257 (Oxford University Press India, 2016)	
Recent Judgments [Available only in Soft Copy]		
1	X v. Principal Secretary, Health and Family Welfare Department, Govt. of NCT of Delhi and Another 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1321 Permission to terminate pregnancy before the completion of twenty-four weeks- Section 3(2)(b) of the Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act 19712 -	

	Rule 3B(c) of the Medical Termination of Pregnancy Rules 2003 - There is no rationale for excluding unmarried or single women (who face a change in their material circumstances) from the ambit of Rule 3B	
2	<p>Trimurthi Fragrances (P) Ltd., through its Director Shri Pradeep Kumar Agrawal v. Government of N.C.T. of Delhi, through its Principal Secretary (Finance) and Others 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1247</p> <p>A decision delivered by a Bench of largest strength is binding on any subsequent Bench of lesser or coequal strength. It is the strength of the Bench and not number of Judges who have taken a particular view which is said to be relevant - A Bench of lesser quorum cannot disagree or dissent from the view of law taken by a Bench of larger quorum. Quorum means the bench strength which was hearing the matter - The numerical strength of the Judges taking a particular view is not relevant, but the Bench strength is determinative of the binding nature of the Judgment.</p>	
3	<p>Deepika Singh v. Central Administrative Tribunal 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1088</p> <p>Maternity leave in terms of Rule 43 of the Central Civil Services (Leave) Rules 1972 - interpretation of Rule 43 - Appellant was entitled to the grant of maternity leave - Judgment of the High Court and the judgment of the CAT set aside.</p>	
4	<p>S. G. Vombatkere v. Union of India (2022) 7 SCC 433</p> <p>Petitions challenging the constitutionality of Section 124-A of the Penal Code, 1860 which deals with offence of sedition - Direction that all pending trials, appeals and proceedings with respect to the charge framed under the said section be kept in abeyance - State and Central Governments to restrain from registering any FIR under Section 124-A IPC till the Court decides the provision's constitutional validity.</p>	
5	<p>Union of India v. Mohit Minerals (P) Ltd. 2022 SCC OnLine SC 657</p> <p>Cooperative and Collaborative Federalism - whether an Indian importer can be subject to the levy of Integrated Goods and Services Tax on the component of ocean freight paid by the foreign seller to a foreign shipping line, on a reverse charge basis.</p>	
6	<p>Amish Devgan v. Union of India (2021) 1 SCC 1</p> <p>Fraternity, diversity and pluralism assuring dignity of the individual have fundamental relationship with unity and integrity of the Nation. Speech or expression causing or likely to cause disturbance of or threats to public order, or, divisiveness and alienation amongst different groups of people, or, demeaning dignity of targeted groups, held, is against Preambular precepts,</p>	

	and violates dignity, liberty and freedom of others, particularly of the targeted groups, and poses threat to fraternity, and unity and integrity of the Nation, and must be dealt with as per law.	
7	<p>Chief Election Commissioner of India v M. R. Vijayabhaskar and Ors. (2021) 9 SCC 770</p> <p>Freedom of press relating to Court proceedings - COVID-19 related protocols in the polling booths - Division Bench alleged to have made certain remarks during the hearings, attributing responsibility to the EC for the present surge in the number of cases of COVID-19, due to their failure to implement appropriate COVID-19 safety measures and protocol during the elections - The need for Judges to exercise caution in off-the-cuff remarks in open court, which may be susceptible to misinterpretation - Oral remarks are not a part of the official judicial record, and therefore, the question of expunging them does not arise.</p>	
8	<p>Firoz Iqbal Khan v. Union of India & Ors. (2021) 2 SCC 596</p> <p>There should be a balance between fundamental right to free speech and expression and the fundamental right to equality and fair treatment for every segment of citizens.</p>	
9	<p>Vinod Dua v. UOI 2021 SCC OnLine SC 414</p> <p>Upheld right of the citizen to criticize the government - Every Journalist will be entitled to protection in terms of Kedar Nath Singh, as every prosecution under Sections 124A and 505 of the IPC must be in strict conformity with the scope and ambit of said Sections as explained in, and completely in tune with the law laid down in Kedar Nath Singh.</p>	
10	<p>K. Lakshminarayanan v. Union of India (2020) 14 SCC 664</p> <p>Challenge to the nominations made by the Central Government in exercise of power under Section 3(3) of the Government of Union Territories Act, 1963, to the Legislative Assembly of Union Territory of Puducherry – All Members including the nominated Members are entitled to vote in the sitting of the Legislative Assembly</p>	
11	<p>Anuradha Bhasin v. Union of India (2020) 3 SCC 637</p> <p>Challenge to order(s), notification(s), direction(s) and/or circular(s) issued by the respondents under which any/all modes of communication including internet, mobile and fixed line telecommunication services have been shut down or suspended or in any way made inaccessible or unavailable in any locality - Freedom of speech and expression and the freedom to practise any profession or carry on any trade, business or occupation over the medium of internet enjoys constitutional protection under Article 19(1)(a) and Article</p>	

	19(1)(g). The restriction upon such fundamental rights should be in consonance with the mandate under Articles 19(2) and (6) of the Constitution, inclusive of the test of proportionality.	
12	State (NCT of Delhi) v. Union of India (2018) 8 SCC 501 Difference of opinion between Lieutenant Governor and Ministers of representative Government of NCT of Delhi on “any matter”- Scope of power of Lieutenant Governor to make reference of such dispute to President	
13	Swaraj Abhiyan v. Union of India & Ors. (2018) 12 SCC 170 Principles of Cooperative Federalism- Sovereignty divided in form of Centre and State- Centre and States often meet and interact at various levels to achieve goal of cooperative federalism – Centre is not powerless (Art.256?) and it is improper on part of States to ignore implementation of welfare legislations enacted by Centre	
14	Tehseen S. Poonawalla v. Union of India (2018) 9 SCC 501 Writ petition for commanding the respondent States to take immediate and necessary action against the cow protection groups indulging in violence and to remove the violent contents from the social media uploaded and hosted by the said groups - Duty of the State to ensure that the machinery of law and order functions efficiently and effectively in maintaining peace so as to preserve our quintessentially secular ethos and pluralistic social fabric in a democratic set-up governed by rule of law.	
15	Subramanian Swamy v. Union of India (UOI), Ministry of Law (2016) 7 SCC 221 Writ petitions to test the constitutional validity of Sections 499 and 500 of the Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 199(1) to 199(4) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 - Court upheld the constitutional validity of Sections 499 and 500 of the Penal Code and Section 199 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.	
16	Kerala Bar Hotels Association & Ors v. State of Kerala & Ors. (2015) 16 SCC 421 Writ petition to challenge the Abkari Policy for the year 2014-2015 as well as the amendments to the Foreign Liquor Rules, 1953 - Judicial review is justified only if the policy is arbitrary, unfair or violative of fundamental rights - It is not within the domain of the courts to embark upon an enquiry as to whether a particular public policy is wise and acceptable or whether a better policy could be evolved - Appeals are dismissed.	

Session – 2 Precedential Value of High Court Judgments		
1	Justice R.V. Raveendran, Precedents – Boon or Bane? in ANOMALIES IN LAW AND JUSTICE, 363 (Eastern Book Company, 2021)	
2	Bryan A. Garner et al, The Law of Judicial Precedents , (Thomas Reuters, 2016) Excerpts- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vertical Precedents • Horizontal Precedents • Binding Decisions • Nonbinding Decisions as Persuasive Authority • Judicial Unity 	
3	Santiago Legarre & Christopher R. Handy, Overruling Louisiana: Horizontal Stare Decisis and the Concept of Precedent , 82 LA. L. REV. 41 (2021)	
4	Prof. Dr. A. Lakshminath, Stare Decisis in the Indian Courts – Institutional Aspects in JUDICIAL PROCESS – PRECEDENT IN INDIAN LAW, 3 rd Edn. 13(Eastern Book Company, 2009)	
5	Chintan Chandrachud, The Precedential Value of Solitary High Court Rulings in India: Carving an Exception to the Principle of Vertical Stare Decisis , Lawasia Journal 25 (2011)	
6	Justice Sunil Ambwani, Stare Decisis, Amongst High Courts (2008)	
7	Benjamin N. Cardozo, Adherence to Precedent – The Subconscious Element in the in the Judicial Process in THE NATURE OF THE JUDICIAL PROCESS 142 (Oxford University Press , 1928)	
8	East India Commercial Co., Ltd., Calcutta & Ors v. Collector of Customs, Calcutta AIR 1962 SC 1893	
Session – 3 Developments in Criminal Law: Issues and Challenges		
1	A.M. Singhvi, India’s Bail Jurisprudence: Need for Urgent and Comprehensive Revamp , in TAKING BAIL SERIOUSLY – THE STATE OF BAIL JURISPRUDENCE IN INDIA, ed. Salman Khurshid et al (Lexis Nexis, Gurgaon,2020)	
2	Shruti Bedi, Bail Under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002: A Critical	

	Analysis of Nikesh Tarachand Shah Judgment in TAKING BAIL SERIOUSLY THE STATE OF BAIL JURISPRUDENCE IN INDIA 427-38 (Salman Khurshid, Sidharth Luthra, Lokendra Malik & Shruti Bedi, Lexis Nexis ed., 2020)	
3	Justice S. G. Gokani, Burden of Proof and Reverse Burden in DIAMOND JUBILEE 1960-2020 60 YEARS LAGACY AND LAW 83- 93 The High Court of Gujarat 2021	
4	David Hamer, The Presumption Of Innocence And Reverse Burdens: A Balancing Act , pp. 142 66(1) Cambridge Law Journal, March (2007)	
5	Standard Operating Procedures for the collection, analysis and presentation of electronic evidence (Cybercrime Programme Office of the Council of Europe (C-PROC) , 12th September 2019)	
Recent Judgments & Orders [Available only in Soft Copy]		
1	Jigar alias Jimmy Pravinchandra Adatiya v. State of Gujarat 2022 SCC OnLine SC 1290 Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 — S. 167 — Failure to produce accused before Special Court at the time of considering extension application — Impugned orders passed by the Special Court granting extension to complete investigation and impugned judgment of the High Court set aside.	
2	Vijay Madanlal Choudhary v. Union of India , 2022 SCC OnLine SC 929 Constitutional validity and interpretation of certain provisions of the Prevention of Money-Laundering Act, 2002 and Powers of Enforcement Directorate	
3	Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI , 2022 SCC OnLine SC 825 Guidelines relating to bail	
4	Aman Preet Singh v. CBI , 2021 SCC OnLine SC 941 Arrest of the accused prior to taking charge-sheet on record not mandatory	
5	Siddharth v. State of U.P. , (2022) 1 SCC 676 Arrest of the accused prior to taking charge-sheet on record not mandatory	
6	Ramesh Bhavan Rathod v. Vishanbhai Hirabhai Makwana , (2021) 6 SCC 230 Bail on ground of parity of co-accused	
7	Union of India v. KA Najeer (2021) 3 SCC 713	

	UAPA - Delay in Trial – Bail	
8	M Ravindran v. Intelligence Officer Directorate of Revenue Intelligence, (2021) 2 SCC 485 Right to Default bail- Scope – Accrual and Extinguishment	
9	Arnab Manoranjan Goswami v State of Maharashtra, (2021) 2 SCC 427 Factors to be considered for grant of bail	
10	Thwaha Fasal v. Union Of India, 2021 SCC OnLine SC 1000 bail – UAPA	Grant of
11	Aparna Bhat v. State of MP, 2021 SCC OnLine 230 Guidelines- Bail Conditions	
12	Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal & Ors, (2020) 7 SCC 1 Electronic Evidence – Certificate under Section 65 B(4) Evidence Act	
13	Sushila Aggarwal v State (NCT of Delhi), (2020) 5 SCC 1 Anticipatory bail – Scope	
14	NIA v. Zahoor Ahmad Shah Watali, (2019) 5 SCC 1 UAPA- Section 45 D(5)- Bail- Approach of exercising discretion	
15	P. Chidambaram v. Directorate of Enforcement, (2020) 13 SCC 791 Bail – Economic Offences- Factors to be considered	
16	P. Chidambaram v. Directorate of Enforcement, (2019) 9 SCC 24 Anticipatory Bail - Factors to be considered	
17	Nikesh Tarachand Shah v. Union of India, (2018) 11 SCC 1 Constitutionality – PMLA -Section 45	
18	Rohit Tandon v. Directorate of Enforcement, (2018) 11 SCC 46 PMLA- Section45 –Factors to be considered for grant of bail	